# EFFECT OF PLANTING DATES AND VA-MYCORRHIZA ON THE PERFORMANCE OF TURMERIC (CURCUMA LONGA L.) CV. SALEM

# UTTAM TRIPURA\*, N. K. HEGDE AND CHAYA P. PATIL

ABSTRACT

Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, Kittur Rani Channamma College of Horticulture, Tq. Gokak, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Arabhavi - 591 310, Karnataka, INDIA e-mail: uttam\_uhs@yahoo.in

## **KEYWORDS**

Planting dates VA-Mycorrhiza Rhizome yield Curing percentage Turmeric

**Received on :** 14.09.2015

Accepted on : 11.02.2016

\*Corresponding author

# **INTRODUCTION**

Turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.), a herbaceous rhizomatous crop, belonging to zingiberaceae family is one of the most valuable spices all over the world. It is used as spice and condiment, dye stuff and in cosmetic and drug industry. India is the world's largest producer and exporter of turmeric and it produces nearly 50 per cent of global turmeric production. It is grown in an area of 2.33 lakh hectares with an average production of 11.90 lakh MT (Anon., 2015). The date of planting has a great impact on growth of turmeric. Early planting on 25<sup>th</sup> April and harvesting date of 5<sup>th</sup> March gave the highest yield, gross return and net return compared to May 10<sup>th</sup> and May 25<sup>th</sup> planting (Manhas et *al.*, 2010). Planting rhizome in the second week of March showed highest plant height, maximum number of tillers, maximum number of leaves and highest rhizome yield (Sengupta and Dasgupta, 2010).

Mycorrhizal fungi occur in most of the soils and colonize roots of many plant species. They are the structures resulting from the symbiosis between Mycorrhizal fungi and plant roots, and are directly involved in plant mineral nutrition. The symbiotic root-fungal association increases the uptake of less mobile nutrients (Ortas *et al.*, 2001), essentially phosphorus (P) but also of micronutrients like zinc (Zn) and copper (Cu), the symbiosis has also been reported as influencing water uptake. Mycorrhizal fungi can also benefit plants by stimulating the production of growth regulating substances, increasing photosynthesis, improving osmotic adjustment under drought and salinity stresses and increasing resistance to pests and soil borne diseases (Al-Karaki, 2006). These benefits are mainly

of turmeric with or without inoculation of VA-Mycorrhiza. Various growth parameters (plant height, number of tillers per clump, number of leaves per clump and leaf area) of mean data were significantly varied at 180 days after planting in turmeric with or without inoculation of VA-Mycorrhiza. Highest plant height, number of tillers per clump, number of leaves per clump and leaf area were recorded by crop planted 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May (102.79 cm, 9.20, 88.97, and 63.56 dm<sup>2</sup> respectively) followed by 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of May (96.04 cm, 8.37, 83.70, and 60.16 dm<sup>2</sup> respectively). Fresh rhizome yield per hectare was also significantly higher by the crop planted in 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May (47.32 t ha<sup>-1</sup>) followed by 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of May (38.03 t ha<sup>-1</sup>). Similarly, the curing percentage and estimated cured yield were significantly higher by 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May (34.00 % and 16.12 t ha<sup>-1</sup>, respectively) compared to the lowest in 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of July (20.68 % and 0.98 t/ha respectively). Hence, planting of turmeric during 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May with inoculation of VA-mycorrhiza is ideal under northern dry zone of Karnataka.

A field investigation was carried out to find out the suitable planting dates and with or without inoculation of VA-

Mycorrhiza. Planting dates (fortnight intervals) starting from 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of April influenced the growth and yield

attributed to improved phosphorous nutrition (Plenchette et *al.*, 2005). The fresh weight, biomass, chlorophyll, lipid, protein, carbohydrate and nucleic acid contents were significantly increased with application of VAM compared to all other treatments in sweet flag (Vijaya et *al.*, 2008). Combined inoculation of *Glomus mosseae*, *G. fasciculatum* and azotobactor or with *G. mosseae* and *G. fasciculatum* or with *G. mosseae* or *G. fasciculatum* increased chlorophyll content, plant height, number leaves, leave area and tuber weight compared to un-inoculated coleus plants (Aruna et *al.*, 2007).

Although, several researchers published reports on dates of planting in turmeric. However, the information on mycorrhizal fungi in turmeric is limited. Therefore the present study was intended to find out the suitable planting dates and VA-mycorrhiza on performance of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) cv.Salem.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during 2011-2012 (one year) at K.R.C College of Horticulture, Arabhavi, Karnataka. Arabhavi is situated in northern dry zone of Karnataka state with mean rainfall of this area is about 523.10 mm which distributed between April to November. Experiment was laid out in split plot design with three replications. The experiment consisted of six fortnightly planting dates starting from 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of April to 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of July as main treatment and two sub plot treatments *viz.*, with or without application of VAM. Healthy, uniform size rhizomes, having an average weight of 20-25 g

were utilized for planting after dipping in the solution of captan (3 g/L) and endosulphon (2 ml/L) for 30 minutes before planting (Manhas et al., 2010). Recommended dose of farmyard manure (25 t/ha) and chemical fertilizers (180, 90 and 90 kg NPK/ ha) were applied. Half dose of nitrogen and full dose of phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal dose. Remaining nitrogen was top dressed at 45 days after planting after weeding. The inoculation of VAM fungus (Glomus fasiculatum) to turmeric was done during planting by applying 5 grams (soil form) per rhizome, just before planting (Singh et al., 2012). The uninoculated VAM rhizomes served as a control. The crop was harvested in the month of second week of February. Observations on growth parameters (at 180 days after planting) and seed to yield ratio were recorded on randomly selected thrice replicated plants. Fresh rhizome yield/ plant, yield/plot and estimated yield/haand curing percentage was also worked out.

Curcumin content was estimated by following the method suggested by (Manjunath et *al.*, 1991). The volatile oil content was estimated as per the methods described in ASTA (Anon., 1968).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

The rhizome planted with VAM inoculation recorded lowest numbers of days (29.89) for sprouting as compared to uninoculated VAM (38.33) rhizome as indicated in (Table 1). Significantly minimum number of days was taken for germination by the rhizome planted in 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of Mav (29.17) while the maximum was recorded by April 1st fortnight (39.67). Plant height (90.20 cm), number of leaves per clump (81.96) and leaf area (56.25 dm<sup>2</sup>) at 180 days were significantly higher by VAM inoculated plant compared to uninoculated control (84.82 cm, 73.77 and 47.85 dm<sup>2</sup> respectively). However, number of tiller per clump showed non-significant effect among the treatments. Among the different planting dates, planting in 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May recorded the highest plant height of 102.79 cm, followed by 1st fortnight of May (96.04 cm) compared to the lowest recorded by 1st fortnight of July (67.81cm).

The highest number of tiller per clump (9.20), number of leaves per clump (88.97) and leaf area (63.56 dm<sup>2</sup>) was recorded by the turmeric crop planted in 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May which was on par with 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of May (8.37, 83.70 and 60.16 dm<sup>2</sup> respectively) compared to the planting in July 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight (7.43, 66.25 and 37.93 dm<sup>2</sup> respectively).

This positive influence of growth parameters is attributed early sprouting of turmeric planted during May 1st and 2nd fortnight might have helped the plants to get better establishment and rapid growth thereby producing better vegetative performance compared to early and late planting. The atmosphere was also congenial for better growth as it was comparatively hot and humid during this period. There was decrease in growth attributes of turmeric crop planted beyond 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May which may be attributed to reduced physiological conditions of rhizome for sprouting and establishment leading to poor field performance. Similar results were also reported by (Bandopadhyay et al., 2005; Kandiannan and Chandaragir 2006; Shadap, 2010; Manhas et al., 2010 and Singh et al., 2013). Vegetative performance was higher in VAM inoculated plant compared to uninoculated control. This might be due to enhanced uptake of nutrients and water by the plant inoculated with VA-mycorrhiza leading to increased vegetative growth. Inoculation with arbuscular-mycorrhizal fungi improved the plant biomass and phosphorous uptake in Coleus aromaticus (Earanna et al., 2001) and Catharanthus roseus (Karthikeyan et al., 2008).

The data on fresh rhizome yield (g/clump), yield to seed ratio and yield (t/ha) differed significantly among different planting dates and VAM inoculation (Table 2). However, crop duration was non-significant among the treatments. Turmeric crop receiving VAM inoculation recorded significantly higher fresh rhizome yield per plant (378.54 g), yield to seed ratio (18.93) and yield (27.25 t/ha) compared to the uninoculated control (320.02 g, 16.00 and 22.85 t/ha respectively). Planting rhizome in 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May resulted in the highest fresh rhizome yield per plant (532.23 g), yield to seed ratio (26.61) and yield (47.32 t/ha) compared to the lowest recorded by July 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight (114.43 g, 5.72 and 4.70 t/ha respectively). The crop duration ranged between 287.17 days (April 2<sup>nd</sup>

Table 4 Fff. at af all at a data a	. I V/A AA		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Table 1: Effect of blanting dates a	nd VA-Mycorrniza inoculation (	on sprouting percentage and g	growth parameters in turmeric cv. Salem
Tuble II zileet of planting dates a			

Treatment	Days to Sprout (%) Plant h			Plant hei	eight (cm) No of till			ers clump <sup>-1</sup>		No. of leaves clump <sup>-1</sup>		Leaf area (dm²)			
	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	Mean	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	Mean
D <sub>1</sub>	35.00	44.33	39.67	93.86	86.98	90.42	8.80	8.47	8.63	84.47	78.47	81.47	58.16	49.93	54.05
D <sub>2</sub>	30.33	38.67	34.50	98.21	93.87	96.04	8.60	8.13	8.37	88.23	79.17	83.70	64.74	55.59	60.16
$D_3$	25.33	33.00	29.17	105.79	99.79	102.79	9.40	9.00	9.20	93.30	84.63	88.97	68.83	58.28	63.56
D <sub>4</sub>	27.00	37.33	32.17	91.89	86.63	89.26	8.40	7.80	8.10	78.97	70.27	74.62	53.54	46.13	49.83
$D_{5}^{T}$	29.33	37.33	33.33	80.96	76.50	78.73	8.00	7.40	7.70	76.83	67.53	72.18	50.61	42.94	46.77
D <sub>6</sub>	32.33	39.33	35.83	70.47	65.15	67.81	7.60	7.27	7.43	69.93	62.57	66.25	41.62	34.24	37.93
Mean	29.89	38.33		90.20	84.82		8.47	8.01		81.96	73.77		56.25	47.85	
For compari	ison of me	ean													
	S.Em±	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)	S.Em±	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)	S.Em±	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)	S.Em±	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)	S.Em±	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)
D	1.28	4.02	9.19	1.76	5.55	4.93	0.359	1.130	10.683	3.63	11.44	11.41	1.23	3.89	5.81
S	0.60	1.86	7.49	0.92	2.85	4.48	0.181	NS	9.345	1.70	5.23	9.24	1.08	3.33	8.81
DxS	1.48	4.55		2.26	6.96		0.444	1.361		4.15	12.80		2.65	8.15	
Main plot (E	D): Dates c	ofplanting							S	ub plot (S):	VAM applica	tion			
$D_1 - 2^{nd}$ fortnight of April, 2011 $D_4$				D <sub>4</sub> - 1 <sup>st</sup> fortnight of June, 2011			S	S,- With VAM			S <sub>2</sub> -Without VAM				
D <sub>2</sub> - 1 <sup>st</sup> fortn					D <sub>5</sub> <sup>4</sup> - 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortr								2		
D <sub>2</sub> - 2 <sup>nd</sup> fortr	night of M	ay, 2011			D <sub>e</sub> - 1 <sup>st</sup> fortni										
NS - Non sig	nificant				0										

Planting date	Yield per (g/clump)	•	Mean	Yield to seed ratio		Mean	Yield (t/ha)		Mean	Crop duration (days)		Mean
	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$		S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$		S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$		S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$	
D <sub>1</sub>	401.93	377.40	389.67	20.10	18.87	19.48	31.29	22.72	27.00	291.67	282.67	287.17
$D_2$	524.27	438.00	481.13	26.21	21.90	24.06	41.56	34.50	38.03	285.33	277.33	281.33
	590.73	473.73	532.23	29.54	23.69	26.61	50.62	44.03	47.32	270.00	261.67	265.83
$D_4^{\prime}$	367.87	307.53	337.70	18.39	15.38	16.88	18.93	18.11	18.52	251.67	243.33	247.50
D <sub>5</sub>	261.13	219.93	240.53	13.06	11.00	12.03	15.77	13.67	14.72	232.67	227.33	230.00
D <sub>6</sub>	125.33	103.53	114.43	6.27	5.18	5.72	5.35	4.05	4.70	206.33	199.67	203.00
Mean	378.54	320.02		18.93	16.00		27.25	22.85		256.28	248.67	
For comp	parison of	mean										
-	$S.Em \pm$	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)	$S.Em \pm$	C.D. at 5%	CV (%)	$S.Em \pm$	C.D. at5%	CV (%)	S.Em±	C.D. at5%	CV (%)
D	13.64	42.98	9.57	0.68	2.15	9.57	1.85	5.82	18.07	3.48	10.97	3.38
S	6.98	21.52	8.48	0.35	1.08	8.48	1.41	4.36	23.92	2.71	NS	4.57
D x S	17.10	52.71		0.85	2.64		3.46	10.67		6.65	20.49	
Main plot (D): Dates of planting								Sub p	lot (S): VAM	application		
$D_2 - 1^{st}$ fortnight of May, 2011 $D_5 - 2^{nd}$ fortnight				ortnight of June, fortnight of June, ortnight of July, 2	, 2011		S <sub>1</sub> -Wi	ith VAM		S <sub>2</sub> -Without VA	M	

Table 2: Effect of planting dates and VA-Mycorrhiza inoculation on fresh rhizome yield and crop duration in turmeric cv. Salem

NS: Non-significant

Table 3: Effect of planting	dates and VA-Mycorrhiz	a inoculation on quality r	parameters in turmeric cv. Sal	em

Planting date	Curing pe	ercentage	Mean	Estimated cured yield (t/ha)		Mean	Curcumin content		Mean	Volatile oil (%)		Mean
	S <sub>1</sub>	$S_2$		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>		S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	
D <sub>1</sub>	29.73	25.23	27.48	9.28	5.70	7.49	4.93	4.36	4.64	1.33	1.25	1.29
D,	34.38	29.28	31.83	14.32	10.09	12.20	5.20	4.72	4.96	1.28	1.15	1.22
	35.27	32.73	34.00	17.85	14.40	16.12	4.60	4.22	4.41	1.12	0.80	0.96
	30.46	27.31	28.88	6.19	4.97	5.58	4.22	3.89	4.06	1.08	0.75	0.91
	27.19	24.00	25.59	4.30	3.28	3.79	4.07	3.67	3.87	0.78	0.70	0.74
	21.57	19.79	20.68	1.16	0.81	0.98	3.73	3.33	3.53	0.78	0.63	0.70
Mean	29.77	26.39		8.85	6.54		4.46	4.03		1.06	0.88	
For comp	arison of r	mean										
	S.Em±	C.D. at5%	CV(%)	$S.Em \pm$	C.D. at5%	CV(%)	$S.Em \pm$	C.D. at5%	CV (%)	$S.Em \pm$	C.D. at5%	CV(%)
D	0.532	1.686	4.650	0.499	1.579	15.876	0.122	0.395	7.260	0.022	0.087	6.781
S	0.297	0.919	4.483	0.243	0.748	13.385	0.059	0.181	5.877	0.021	0.061	8.527
D x S	0.727	2.241		0.594	1.838		0.144	0.447		0.052	0.143	
Main plot (D): Dates of planting							S	ub plot (S): VAM	application	1		
D <sub>2</sub> - 1 <sup>st</sup> fortn	night of April, ight of May, 2 night of May,	2011	Ľ		t of June, 2011 ht of June, 2011 t of July, 2011	une, 2011					hout VAM	

fortnight) and 203.00 days (July 1st fortnight).

Higher fresh yield and yield attributes in turmeric planted during 2<sup>nd</sup> and 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of May might be attributed to better growth and development during the growth period due to higher growth attributes like ample number of functional leaves, more number of tillers and higher leaf size. Vigorous plant growth must have contributed for higher yield due to higher photosynthesis. Further, it also must have resulted in higher sink capacity and accumulation of more of carbohydrates and its translocation into rhizome thereby increased the fresh rhizome yield. Similar results were also reported by Bandopadhyay *et al.* (2005), Kandiannan and Chandaragir (2006) and Manhas *et al.* (2010).

The increase in fresh rhizome weight in inoculated plants also could be correlated with increased mycorrhizal colonization.

The reason may also be due to the formation of external mycelium around the roots by VAM fungi. Inoculation of VAM must have helped to increase the mineral phosphorous uptake in the plant and might have resulted in the higher fresh rhizomes yield. These results are agreement with the findings of earlier Investigators (Aruna *et al.*, 2007; Karthikeyan *et al.*, 2008 and Vijaya *et al.*, 2008).

Crop receiving application of VAM showed the higher curing percentage (29.77), estimated cured yield (8.85 t/ha), content of curcumin (4.46%) and volatile oil (1.06%) than the control (26.39, 6.54 t/ha, 4.03 and 0.88% respectively) (Table 3). Significantly higher curing percentage (34.00) and estimated cured yield (16.12 t/ha) were recorded by 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight of May compared to the lowest recorded by 1<sup>st</sup> fortnight of July (20.68 and 0.98 t/ha respectively). However, early planting i.e., May

1<sup>st</sup> fortnight and April 2<sup>nd</sup> fortnight recorded the higher curcumin (4.96%) and volatile oil content (1.29%).

The variation in quality parameters viz., curing percentage, cured yield, curcumin and volatile oil content might be due to the longer crop duration which might have increased the dry matter accumulation in the crop as crop duration of earlier planting was more than later planting dates. The rhizome formation starts early and gets more time for development thus resulting in more accumulation of dry matter and curcumin content in early planted crops than the late planted crops. The results in the present investigation are in conformity with earlier finding of (Kandiannan and Chandaragir, 2006; Shadap, 2010 and Singh et al., 2013). Application of VAM might have helped to increase the quality parameters of turmeric. This may be due to the role of VAM (Glomus fasciculatum) in increased mineralization of organic matter. P is one of the main nutrients involved in the synthesis of secondary metabolites as their production demands ATP (Sangwan et al., 2001). The increase in availability of P through mycorrhizal association would probably underlie the increase of curcumin. Similarly, the result was supported with earlier finding (Silva et al., 2008 in ginger and Yamawaki et al., 2013 in turmeric) inoculated mycorrhizal fungi found oil concentration was modulated according to VAM.

### REFERENCES

**Al-Karaki, G. N. 2006.** Nursery inoculation of tomato with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and subsequent performance under irrigation with saline water. *Scientia Hort.* **109**: 1-7.

**Anonymous. 1968.** Official analytical methods of the American Spice Trade Association. Englewood Cliffs, N.J. pp. 8-11.

Anonymous. 2015. Indian Horticulture Database, National Horticulture Board. p. 6

Aruna, S., Charantimath and Lakshman, H. C. 2007. Interaction between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and azotobacter on growth of *Coleus amboinicus* L. *The bioscan.* 2(3): 199-201.

Bandopadhyay, A., Hore, J. K. and Ghosh, D. K. 2005. Effect of time of planting on growth and yield of turmeric grown as intercrop in the coconut plantation of West Bengal. J. Plantation Crops. 33(1): 36-38.

Earanna, N., Mallikarjuniah, R. R., Bagyaraj, D. J. and Suresh, C. K. 2001. Response of *Coleus aromaticum* to *Glomus fasciculatum* and other beneficial soil microflora. J. Spices and Aromatic Crops. 10(2): 141-143.

Kandiannan, K. and Chandaragir, K. K. 2006. Influence of varieties, dates of planting, spacing and nitrogen levels on growth, yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa*). *Indian J. Agric. Sci.* **76(7)**: 432-

#### 434.

Karthikeyan, B., Jaleel, C. A., Changxing, Z., Manoharan, M. J., Jothi, S. and Muthukumar, D. 2008. The effect of AM fungi and phosphorous level on the biomass yield and ajmalicine production in *Catharanthus roseus. Eur. Asia. J. Bio. Sci.* **2**: 26-33.

Manhas, S. S., Gill, B. S. and Sharma, S. 2010. Effect of different planting material, planting dates and harvesting dates on economy of turmeric crop. J. Agril. Physics. 10: 50-52.

Manjunath, M. N., Stattigeri, V. D. and Nagaraj, K. V. 1991. Curcumin in turmeric. *Spice India*. 12: 7-9.

**Ortas, I., Kaya, Z. and Çakmak, I. 2001.** Influence of VA mycorrhiza inoculation on growth of maize and green pepper plants in phosphorus and zinc deficient soils. In: Plant nutrition- Food security and sustainability of agroecosystems (Horst W.J. et al., eds). *Kluwer Acad Publ, Dordrecht.* pp. 632-633.

Plenchette, C., Clermont, D. C., Meynard, J. M. and Fortin, J. A. 2005. Managing arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in cropping systems. *Can. J. Plant Sci.* 85(1): 31-40.

Sangwan, N. S., Farooqi, A. H. A., Shabih, F. and Sangwan, R. S. 2001. Regulation of essential oil production in plants. *Plant Growth Regulation*. 34: 3-21.

Sengupta, D. K. and Dasgupta, B. 2010. Effect of planting time on growth and yield of ginger (*Zingiber officinale* Rose.) in the hilly region of Darjeeling (West Bengal). *Horticultural J.* 23(1): 35-37.

Shadap, A. 2010. Studies on planting dates and storage of seed rhizome in ginger (Zingiber officinale Rosc.). M.Sc. (Agri.) thesis, University of Agricultural Science, Dharwad.

Silva, M. F., Pescador, R., Ricardo, A. R. and Sturmer, S. L. 2008. Effect of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal isolates on the development and oleoresin production of micro-propagated Zingiber officinale. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.* **20(2)**: 119-130.

Singh, B. K., Ramakrishna, Y., Deka, B. C., Verma, V. K. and Pathak, K. A. 2013. Varieties and planting dates affect the growth, yield and quality of turmeric (*Curcuma longa* L.) in mild-tropical environment. *Vegetable Science*. **40**(1): 40-44.

Singh, R., Kalra, A., Ravish, B. S., Divya, S., Parameswaran, T. N., Srinivas, K. V. N. S. and Bagyaraj, D. J. 2012. Effect of potential bioinoculants and organic manures on root-rot and wilt, growth, yield and quality of organically grown *Coleus forskohlii* in a semiarid tropical region of Bangalore. *Plant Pathology*. **61**: 700-708.

Vijaya, T., Nagalakshmi Devamma, M. and Nirmala, C. 2008. Response of vesicular arbuscular mycorrhizae (VAM) treated *Acorus calamus* L. to water stress. *The Bioscan.* **3(4):** 437-440.

Yamawaki, K., Matsumura, A., Hattori, R., Tarui, A., Hossain, M. A., Ohashi, Y. and Daimon, H. 2013. Effect of inoculation with arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi on growth, nutrient uptake and curcumin production of turmeric (*Curcuma longa L.*). *Agric. Sci.* 4(2): 66-71.